



Egyptian Mathematical Society
Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society

www.etms-eg.org
www.elsevier.com/locate/joems



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Pairwise weakly and pairwise strongly irresolute functions

F.H. Khedr ^{a,*}, H.S. Al-Saadi ^b

^a *Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, University of Assiut, Assiut 715161, Egypt*

^b *Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education for Girls, P.O. Box 4281, Makkah, Saudi Arabia*

Available online 2 March 2012

KEYWORDS

ij-Semi-open set;
ij-Semi-continuous;
ij-Irresolute;
ij-Semi-closure;
ij-Semi T_2 -space;
ij-Semi-compact

Abstract In this paper we consider a new weak and strong forms of irresolute functions in bitopological spaces, namely, *ij*-quasi-irresolute functions and strongly irresolute functions. Several characterizations and basic properties of these functions are given. We investigate the relationships among some weak forms of continuity and other generalizations of continuous mappings in bitopological spaces.

© 2011 Egyptian Mathematical Society. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V.
 Open access under [CC BY-NC-ND license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

The study of bitopological spaces was first initiated by Kelly [3] and thereafter a large number of papers have been done to generalized the topological concepts to bitopological space. Irresolute mappings in bitopological spaces was defined by Mukherjee [11]. In 1991 Khedr [4] introduced and investigate a class of mappings in bitopological spaces called pairwise θ -irresolute mappings. Khedr [7] defined the concept of quasi-irresolute mappings in these spaces and studied some of its

properties. The concepts of strongly irresolute mappings in bitopological spaces was defined by Khedr in [6] and he showed that quasi-irresoluteness and semi-continuity are independent of each other.

The aim of this paper is to introduce basic properties of quasi-irresolute and strongly irresolute functions in bitopological spaces. We study these functions and some of results on *s*-closed spaces and semi-compact spaces in bitopological spaces. Also, we investigate the relationships among some weak forms of continuity, irresoluteness, quasi-irresoluteness and strong irresoluteness.

Throughout this paper (X, τ_1, τ_2) , (Y, σ_1, σ_2) and (Z, ν_1, ν_2) (or briefly X , Y and Z) denote bitopological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless otherwise mentioned. For a subset A of X , we shall denote the closure of A and the interior of A with respect to τ_i (or σ_i) by $i\text{-cl}(A)$ and $i\text{-int}(A)$ respectively for $i = 1, 2$. Also $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.

A subset A is said to be *ij*-semi-open [1], if there exists a τ_i -open set U of X such that $U \subset A \subset j\text{-cl}(U)$, or equivalently if $A \subset j\text{-cl}(i\text{-int}(A))$. The complement of an *ij*-semi-open set is said to be *ij*-semi-closed. An *ij*-semi-interior [1] of A , denoted by $ij\text{-sint}(A)$, is the union of all *ij*-semi-open sets contained in A . The intersection of all *ij*-semi-closed sets containing A is called the *ij*-semi-closure [1] of A and denoted by $ij\text{-scl}(A)$.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: Khedrfathi@gmail.com (F.H. Khedr), hasa112@hotmail.com (H.S. Al-Saadi).

1110-256X © 2011 Egyptian Mathematical Society. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. Open access under [CC BY-NC-ND license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Peer review under responsibility of Egyptian Mathematical Society.
 doi:[10.1016/j.joems.2011.12.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joems.2011.12.007)



Production and hosting by Elsevier

A subset A of X is said to be ij -semi-regular [7] if it is both ji -semi-open and ij -semi-closed in X . The family of all ij -semi-open (resp. ij -semi-closed, ij -semi-regular) sets of X is denoted by ij -SO(X) (resp. ij -SC(X), ij -SR(X)) and for $x \in X$, the family of all ij -semi-open sets containing x is denoted by ij -SO(X, x).

A point $x \in X$ is said to be ij -semi θ -adherent point of A [2] if ji -scl(U) $\cap A \neq \emptyset$ for every ij -semi-open set U containing x . The set of all ij -semi θ -adherent points of A is called the ij -semi θ -closure of A and denoted by ij -scl $_{\theta}(A)$. A subset A is called ij -semi θ -closed if ij -scl $_{\theta}(A) = A$. The set $\{x \in X \setminus ji$ -scl(U) $\subset A$, for some U is ij -semi-open $\}$ is called the ij -semi θ -interior of A and is denoted by ij -sint $_{\theta}(A)$. A subset A is called ij -semi θ -open if $A = ij$ -sint $_{\theta}(A)$.

Now, we mention the flowing definitions and results:

Definition 1.1. A bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is said to be:

- (i) Pairwise semi- T_0 [8] (briefly P-semi T_0) if for each distinct points $x, y \in X$, there exists either an ij -semi-open set containing x but not y or a ji -semi-open set containing y but not x .
- (ii) Pairwise semi- T_1 [8] (briefly P-semi T_1) if for every two distinct points x and y in X , there exists an ij -semi-open set U containing x but not y and a ji -semi-open set V containing y but not x .
- (iii) Pairwise semi- T_2 [6] (briefly P-semi T_2) if for every two distinct points x and y in X , there exists either $U \in ij$ -SO(X, x) and $V \in ji$ -SO(X, y) such that $U \cap V = \emptyset$.

Definition 1.2. [7] A bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is said to be ij -semi-regular (resp. ij -s-regular) if for each ij -semi-closed (resp. τ_i -closed) set F and each point $x \notin F$, there exists an ij -semi-open set U and a ji -semi-open set V such that $x \in U$, $F \subset V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$.

Lemma 1.3. [8] For every subset A of a space X , we have the following:

- (i) $X \setminus ij$ -scl(A) = ij -sint($X \setminus A$).
- (ii) $X \setminus ij$ -sint(A) = ij -scl($X \setminus A$).

Lemma 1.4. [7] Let A be a subset of a space X . Then we have:

- (i) If $U \in ij$ -SO(X), then ji -scl(U) $\in ji$ -SR(X).
- (ii) If $A \in ij$ -SO(X), then ji -scl(A) = ij -scl $_{\theta}(A)$.

Lemma 1.5. [9] Let A be a subset of a space X . Then we have if $A \in ij$ -SR(X), then A is both ij -semi θ -closed and ji -semi θ -open.

Lemma 1.6. [8] If a function $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is an ij -pre-semi-closed, then for each subset $S \subset Y$ and each $U \in ij$ -SO(X) containing $f^{-1}(S)$, there exists $V \in ij$ -SO(Y) such that $S \subset V$ and $f^{-1}(V) \subset U$.

Lemma 1.7. [7] A bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) ij -semi-regular (resp. ij -s-regular) if and only if for each ij -semi-open (resp. τ_i -open) set G and each point $x \in G$, there exists an ij -semi-open set U such that $x \in U$, $F \subset V$ and ji -scl(U) $\subset G$.

Lemma 1.8. [7] A bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is ij -s-closed if and only if for every cover of X by ij -semi-regular sets has a finite subcover.

Lemma 1.9. [9]

- (i) Every ji -semi θ -closed set is ij - θ -sg-closed.
- (ii) A bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is an P -semi $T_{1/2}$ -space if and only if every ij - θ -sg-closed set is ij -semi-closed.

Definition 1.10. [9] A function $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is called:

- (i) ij - θ -semigeneralized continuous (briefly ij - θ -sg-continuous) if $f^{-1}(V)$ is ij - θ -sg-closed in X for every ji -semi-closed V of Y .
- (ii) ij - θ -semigeneralized irresolute (briefly ij - θ -sg-irresolute) if $f^{-1}(V)$ is ij - θ -sg-closed in X for every ij - θ -sg-closed set V of Y .
- (iii) ij - θ -sg-closed if for every ji -semi-closed set U of X , $f(U)$ is an ij - θ -sg-closed in Y .
- (iv) ij -semi-generalized closed (briefly ij -sg-closed) if for each τ_j -closed set F of X , $f(F)$ is an ij -sg-closed set in Y .

2. Characterization of pairwise quasi-irresolute functions.

Definition 2.1. [7] A function $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be ij -quasi-irresolute if for each $x \in X$ and each $V \in ij$ -SO($Y, f(x)$), there exists $U \in ij$ -SO(X, x) such that $f(U) \subset ji$ -scl(V). If f is 12-quasi-irresolute and 21-quasi-irresolute, then f is called pairwise quasi-irresolute.

Definition 2.2. A function $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be ij -irresolute [11] (resp. ij -semi-continuous [11]) if $f^{-1}(V)$ is an ij -semi-open set of X for every ij -semi-open (resp. σ_i -open) set V of Y .

Theorem 2.3. The following statements are equivalent for a function $f: X \rightarrow Y$:

- (i) f is ij -quasi-irresolute
- (ii) ij -scl($f^{-1}(B)$) $\subset f^{-1}(ij$ -scl $_{\theta}(B))$ for every subset B of Y .
- (iii) $f(ij$ -scl(A)) $\subset ij$ -scl $_{\theta}(f(A))$ for every subset A of X .
- (iv) $f^{-1}(F) \in ij$ -SC(X) for every ij -semi θ -closed set F in Y .
- (v) $f^{-1}(V) \in ij$ -SO(X) for every ij -semi θ -open set V in Y .

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let $B \subset Y$ and $x \notin f^{-1}(ij$ -scl $_{\theta}(B))$. Then $f(x) \notin ij$ -scl $_{\theta}(B)$ and there exists $V \in ij$ -SO($Y, f(x)$) such that ji -scl(v) $\cap B = \emptyset$. By (i), there exists $U \in ij$ -SO(X, x) such that $f(U) \subset ji$ -scl(v). Hence $f(U) \cap B = \emptyset$ and $U \cap f^{-1}(B) = \emptyset$. Consequently, we obtain $x \notin ij$ -scl($f^{-1}(B)$).

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): For any subset A of X , the inclusion ij -scl(A) $\subset ij$ -scl($f^{-1}(f(A))$) holds. By (ii), we have ij -scl($f^{-1}(f(A))$) $\subset f^{-1}(ij$ -scl $_{\theta}(f(A))$) and hence $f(ij$ -scl(A)) $\subset ij$ -scl $_{\theta}(f(A))$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii): For any subset B of Y , we have ij -scl $_{\theta}(f(f^{-1}(B))) \subset ij$ -scl $_{\theta}(B)$. By (iii), we obtain $f(ij$ -scl($f^{-1}(B)$)) $\subset ij$ -scl $_{\theta}(f(f^{-1}(B)))$ and hence ij -scl($f^{-1}(B)$) $\subset f^{-1}(ij$ -scl $_{\theta}(B))$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iv): Let F be an ij -semi θ -closed set in Y . By (ii), we have $ij\text{-scl}(f^{-1}(F)) \subset f^{-1}(ij\text{-scl}_\theta(F)) = f^{-1}(F)$. Therefore, $f^{-1}(F)$ is ij -semi-closed in X .

(iv) \Rightarrow (v): If V is ij -semi θ -open in Y , then $Y \setminus V$ is ij -semi θ -closed. By (iv), $f^{-1}(Y \setminus V) = X \setminus f^{-1}(V)$ is ij -semi-closed in X . Thus $f^{-1}(V) \in ij\text{-SO}(X)$.

(v) \Rightarrow (i): Let $x \in X$ and $V \in ij\text{-SO}(Y, f(x))$. It follows from Lemmas 1.4 and 1.5, that $ji\text{-scl}(V)$ is ji -semi θ -closed and ij -semi θ -open in Y . Set $U = f^{-1}(ji\text{-scl}(V))$. By (v), we observe that $U \in ij\text{-SO}(X)$ and $f(U) \subset ji\text{-scl}(V)$. The proof is complete. \square

The next theorem contains an unexpected result.

Theorem 2.4. *The following statements are equivalent for a function $f: X \rightarrow Y$:*

- (i) f is pairwise quasi-irresolute
- (ii) For each $x \in X$ and each $V \in ij\text{-SO}(Y, f(x))$, there exists $U \in ij\text{-SO}(X)$ such that $f(U) \subset ji\text{-scl}(V)$.
- (iii) $f^{-1}(F) \in ji\text{-SR}(X)$ for every $F \in ji\text{-SR}(Y)$.

Proof

- (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let $x \in X$ and $V \in ij\text{-SO}(Y, f(x))$. Then by Lemmas 1.4 and 1.5, $ji\text{-scl}(V)$ is both ij -semi θ -open and ji -semi θ -closed. Put $U = f^{-1}(ji\text{-scl}(V))$. Then it follows from Theorem 2.3(v), that $U \in ji\text{-SR}(X)$. Thus we obtain $U \in ij\text{-SO}(X)$. $U = ji\text{-scl}(U)$ and $f(U) \subset ji\text{-scl}(V)$.
- (ii) \Rightarrow (i): Obvious.
- (i) \Rightarrow (iii): Let $V \in ji\text{-SR}(Y)$. By Lemma 1.5, V is ji -semi θ -closed and ij -semi θ -open in Y . It follows from Theorem 2.3 that $f^{-1}(V) \in ji\text{-SR}(X)$.
- (iii) \Rightarrow (i): Let $x \in X$ and $V \in ij\text{-SO}(Y, f(x))$. By Lemma 1.4, $ji\text{-scl}(V) \in ji\text{-SR}(Y, f(x))$ and by hypothesis $f^{-1}(ji\text{-scl}(V)) \in ji\text{-SR}(X, x)$. Put $U = f^{-1}(ji\text{-scl}(V))$, then $U \in ij\text{-SO}(X, x)$ and $f(U) \subset ji\text{-scl}(V)$. This shows that f is ij -quasi-irresolute. \square

The following Theorem offers several characterizations of ij -quasi-irresolute functions.

Theorem 2.5. *The following statements are equivalent for a function $f: X \rightarrow Y$:*

- (i) f is pairwise quasi-irresolute
- (ii) $ij\text{-scl}_\theta(f^{-1}(B)) \subset f^{-1}(ij\text{-scl}_\theta(B))$ for every subset B of Y .
- (iii) $f(ij\text{-scl}_\theta(A)) \subset ij\text{-scl}_\theta(f(A))$ for every subset A of X .
- (iv) $f^{-1}(F)$ is ij -semi θ -closed in X for every ij -semi θ -closed set F in Y .
- (v) $f^{-1}(V)$ is ij -semi θ -open in X , for every ij -semi θ -open set V in Y .

Proof. By making use of Theorem 2.4, we can prove this Theorem in the similar way to the proof of Theorem 2.3. \square

Theorem 2.6. *Let $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ be ij - θ -sg-irresolute. If (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pairwise semi $T_{1/2}$, then f is ji -quasi-irresolute*

Proof. Suppose that V is a ji -semi θ -closed set in Y . By lemma 1.9(i), V is ij - θ -sg-closed in Y . Since f is ij - θ -sg-irresolute, $f^{-1}(V)$ is ij - θ -sg-closed in X . By Lemma 1.9 (ii), $f^{-1}(V)$ is ij -semi-closed. This shows that f is ij -quasi-irresolute, by Theorem 2.3. \square

Theorem 2.7. *If $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is an ij -quasi-irresolute and Y is ij -semi-regular, then f is ij -irresolute.*

Proof. Let $V \in ij\text{-SO}(Y)$ and $x \in f^{-1}(V)$, there exists $W \in ij\text{-SO}(Y)$ such that $f(x) \in W \subset ji\text{-scl}(W) \subset V$, since f is ij -quasi-irresolute, then there exists $U \in ij\text{-SO}(X, x)$ such that $f(U) \subset ji\text{-scl}(W)$. Therefore, we have $x \in U \subset f^{-1}(ij\text{-scl}(W)) \subset f^{-1}(V)$ and hence $f^{-1}(V) \in ij\text{-SO}(X)$. This shows that f is ij -irresolute. \square

Theorem 2.8. *If $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is an ij -quasi-irresolute and Y is ij -semi-regular, then f is ij -semi-continuous.*

Proof. Similar to that of Theorem 2.7. \square

Lemma 2.9. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: X \rightarrow X \times Y$ the graph function of f where $g(x) = (x, f(x))$ for each $x \in X$. If g is ij -quasi-irresolute, then f is ij -quasi-irresolute.*

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and $V \in ij\text{-SO}(f(x))$. Then $X \times V$ is an ij -semi-open set in $X \times Y$ containing $g(x)$. Since g is ij -quasi-irresolute there exists $U \in ij\text{-SO}(X)$ such that $g(U) \subset ji\text{-scl}(X \times V)$. Thus we obtain $f(U) \subset ji\text{-scl}(V)$. \square

The converse of Lemma 2.9, is not true as the next example shows.

Example 2.10. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{\phi, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$. Define a function $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ by setting $f(a) = b$, $f(b) = a$ and $f(c) = c$. Then f is 12-irresolute and hence 12-quasi-irresolute but g is not 12-quasi-irresolute. It is apparent that $12\text{-SO}(X) = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}, X\}$. We prove that g is not 12-quasi-irresolute at c . Now, put $V = \{(a, a), (a, c), (c, c)\}$. Then V is 12-semi-open in $X \times X$, $g(c) = (c, f(c)) = (c, c) \in V$ and $V = 21\text{-scl}(V)$. Since $12\text{-SO}(c) = \{\{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$, $g(U) \not\subset 21\text{-scl}(V)$ for every $U \in 12\text{-SO}(c)$. Thus show that g not be 12-quasi-irresolute at c .

Lemma 2.11. *A space X is pairwise semi- T_2 if and only if for each pair distinct of points x, y of X , there exist $U \in ij\text{-SO}(X, x)$ and $V \in ij\text{-SO}(X, y)$ such that $ij\text{-scl}(U) \cap ij\text{-scl}(V) = \phi$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 1.4. \square

Theorem 2.12. *If Y is pairwise semi- T_2 -space and $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is a pairwise quasi-irresolute injection, then X is pairwise semi- T_2 .*

Proof. Let $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and $x_1 \neq x_2$. Then there exists $V_1 \in ij\text{-SO}(Y)$ containing $f(x_1)$ and $V_2 \in ij\text{-SO}(Y)$ containing $f(x_2)$ such that $ij\text{-scl}(V_1) \cap ij\text{-scl}(V_2) = \phi$, from Lemma 2.11. Since f is pairwise quasi-irresolute, there exists $U_1 \in ij\text{-SO}(X)$ containing x_1 and $U_2 \in ij\text{-SO}(X)$ containing x_2 such that $f(U_1) \subset ij\text{-scl}(V_1)$ and $f(U_2) \subset ij\text{-scl}(V_2)$. Since f is injection, then $U_1 \cap U_2 = \phi$. Thus X is pairwise semi- T_2 . \square

Theorem 2.13. *If a function $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is pairwise quasi-irresolute and ij -pre-semi-closed, then for every ij - θ -sg-closed set F of Y , $f^{-1}(F)$ is ij - θ -sg-closed set of X .*

Proof. Suppose that F is an ij - θ -sg-closed set of Y . Assume $f^{-1}(F) \subset U$ where $U \in ij\text{-SO}(X)$. Since f is ij -pre-semi-closed and by lemma 1.6, there is an ij -semi-open set V such that $F \subset V$ and $f^{-1}(V) \subset U$. Since F is ij - θ -sg-closed set and $F \subset V$, then $ij\text{-scl}_\theta(F) \subset V$. Hence $f^{-1}(ij\text{-scl}_\theta(F)) \subset f^{-1}(V) \subset U$. Since f is pairwise quasi-irresolute and by Theorem 2.5, $ij\text{-scl}_\theta(f^{-1}(F)) \subset U$ and hence $f^{-1}(F)$ is ij - θ -sg-closed set in X . \square

Theorem 2.14. *If a space X is pairwise semi- $T_{1/2}$ and $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is surjective, ij -quasi-irresolute and ij -pre-semi-closed, then Y is pairwise semi $T_{1/2}$.*

Proof. Assume that A is an ij - θ -sg-closed subset of Y . Then by Theorem 2.13, we have $f^{-1}(A)$ is an ij - θ -sg-closed subset of X . By Theorem 2.12, $f^{-1}(A)$ is ij -semi-closed and hence, A is ij -semi-closed. It follows that Y is pairwise semi $T_{1/2}$. \square

Definition 2.15. Let X and Y be bitopological spaces. A subset S of $X \times Y$ is called ij -strongly semi θ -closed if for each $(x, y) \in (X \times Y) \setminus S$, there exist $U \in ji\text{-SO}(X, x)$ and $V \in ij\text{-SO}(Y, y)$ such that $[ij\text{-scl}(U) \times ji\text{-scl}(V)] \cap S = \phi$.

Definition 2.16. A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be an ij -strongly semi θ -closed graph if its graph $G(f)$ is ij -strongly semi θ -closed in $X \times Y$ where $G(f) = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in X\}$.

Theorem 2.17. *If a function $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is a ij -quasi-irresolute and Y is pairwise semi T_2 , then $G(f)$ is ij -strongly semi θ -closed.*

Proof. Let $(x, y) \notin G(f)$, then we have $y \neq f(x)$. Since Y is pairwise semi T_2 , by Lemma 2.11, there exist $W \in ij\text{-SO}(Y, f(x))$ and $V \in ij\text{-SO}(Y, y)$ such that $ij\text{-scl}(W) \cap ij\text{-scl}(V) = \phi$. Since f is ij -quasi-irresolute, by Theorem 2.4, there exists $U \in ji\text{-SO}(X, x)$ such that $f(ij\text{-scl}(U)) \subset ij\text{-scl}(W)$. Therefore, we have $f(ij\text{-scl}(U)) \cap ij\text{-scl}(V) = \phi$. This shows that $G(f)$ is ij -strongly semi θ -closed. \square

Theorem 2.18. *If a function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ has an ij -strongly semi θ -closed graph and $g: X \rightarrow Y$ is an ij -quasi-irresolute function, then the set $A = \{(x_1, x_2) \in X \times X : f(x_1) = g(x_2)\}$ is an ij -strongly semi θ -closed in $X \times X$.*

Proof. Let $(x_1, x_2) \notin (X \times X) \setminus A$. Then we have $f(x_1) \neq g(x_2)$ and hence $(x_1, g(x_2)) \in (X \times Y) \setminus G(f)$. Since $G(f)$ is ij -strongly semi θ -closed, there exists $U \in ij\text{-SO}(x_1)$ and $W \in ij\text{-SO}(g(x_2))$ such that $f(ij\text{-scl}(U)) \cap ji\text{-scl}(W) = \phi$. Since g is ij -quasi-irresolute, then implies that there exists $V \in ij\text{-SO}(x_2)$ such that $g(ij\text{-scl}(V)) \subset ji\text{-scl}(W)$. Consequently, we obtain $f(ij\text{-scl}(U)) \cap g(ij\text{-scl}(V)) = \phi$ and hence $[ij\text{-scl}(U) \times ji\text{-scl}(V)] \cap A = \phi$. Hence A is ij -strongly semi θ -closed in $X \times X$. \square

Corollary 2.19. *If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is an ij -quasi-irresolute function and Y is pairwise semi T_2 , then the set $A = \{(x_1, x_2) \in X \times X \setminus f(x_1) = f(x_2)\}$ is ij -strongly semi θ -closed in $X \times X$.*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.17 and 2.18. \square

Definition 2.20. A space X is to be ij -semi-connected [10] if X cannot be expressed as the union of two disjoint non-empty subsets U and V such that $U \in ij\text{-SO}(X)$ and $V \in ji\text{-SO}(X)$.

Theorem 2.21. *If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a P -quasi-irresolute surjection and X is P -semi-connected, then Y is P -semi-connected.*

Proof. Suppose that Y is not P -semi-connected. Then Y is the union of two non-empty disjoint $V_1 \in ij\text{-SO}(Y)$ and $V_2 \in ji\text{-SO}(Y)$ such that $V_1 \cap V_2 = \phi$ and $V_1 \cup V_2 = Y$. Let $W_1 = ij\text{-scl}(V_1)$ and $W_2 = ij\text{-scl}(V_2)$. Then $\phi \neq W_1 \in ij\text{-SR}(Y)$ and $\phi \neq W_2 \in ij\text{-SR}(Y)$, by Lemma 1.4, such that $W_1 \cap W_2 = \phi$ and $W_1 \cup W_2 = Y$. Therefore, we have $f^{-1}(W_1) \neq \phi$, $f^{-1}(W_2) \neq \phi$, $f^{-1}(W_1) \cap f^{-1}(W_2) = \phi$ and $f^{-1}(W_1) \cup f^{-1}(W_2) = X$. Moreover by Theorem 2.4, $f^{-1}(W_1) \in ij\text{-SR}(X)$ and $f^{-1}(W_2) \in ij\text{-SR}(X)$. This shows that X is not P -semi-connected and this is a contradiction. Therefore, Y is P -semi-connected. \square

3. Characterization of ij -strongly irresolute functions.

Definition 3.1. [6] A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be ij -strongly irresolute if for each $x \in X$ and each $V \in ij\text{-SO}(Y, f(x))$, there exists $U \in ij\text{-SO}(X, x)$ such that $f(ij\text{-scl}(U)) \subset V$. If f is 12-strongly irresolute and 21-strongly irresolute, then f is called pairwise strongly irresolute.

Theorem 3.2. *The following statements are equivalent for a function $f: X \rightarrow Y$.*

- (i) f is ij -strongly irresolute.
- (ii) $ij\text{-scl}_\theta(f^{-1}(B)) \subset f^{-1}(ij\text{-scl}(B))$ for every subset B of Y .
- (iii) $f(ij\text{-scl}_\theta(A)) \subset ij\text{-scl}(f(A))$ for every subset A of X .
- (iv) $f^{-1}(F)$ is ij -semi- θ -closed in X for every $F \in ij\text{-SC}(Y)$.
- (v) $f^{-1}(V)$ is ij -semi θ -open in X , for every $V \in ij\text{-SO}(Y)$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let $B \subset Y$ and $x \notin f^{-1}(ij\text{-scl}(B))$. Then $f(x) \notin ij\text{-scl}(B)$ and there exists $V \in ij\text{-SO}(Y, f(x))$ such that $V \cap B = \phi$. By (i), there exists $U \in ij\text{-SO}(X, x)$ such that $f(ij\text{-scl}(U)) \subset V$. Therefore, we have $ij\text{-scl}(U) \cap f^{-1}(B) = \phi$ and hence $x \notin ij\text{-scl}_\theta(f^{-1}(B))$. \square

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): For any subset A of X , by (ii) we have $ij\text{-scl}_\theta(A) \subset ij\text{-scl}_\theta(f^{-1}(f(A))) \subset f^{-1}(ij\text{-scl}(f(A)))$ and hence $f(ij\text{-scl}_\theta(A)) \subset ij\text{-scl}(f(A))$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv): For any $F \in ij\text{-SC}(Y)$, by (iii) we have $f(ij\text{-scl}_\theta(f^{-1}(F))) \subset ij\text{-scl}(F) = F$ and hence $ij\text{-scl}_\theta(f^{-1}(F)) \subset f^{-1}(F)$. This shows that $f^{-1}(F)$ is ij -semi θ -closed.

(iv) \Rightarrow (v): For any $V \in ij\text{-SO}(Y)$, $Y \setminus V \in ij\text{-SC}(Y)$ and $X \setminus f^{-1}(V) = f^{-1}(Y \setminus V)$ is ij -semi θ -closed. Therefore, $f^{-1}(V)$ is ij -semi θ -open in X .

(v) \Rightarrow (i): Let $x \in X$ and $V \in ij\text{-SO}(Y, f(x))$. Then by (v), $f^{-1}(V)$ is ij -semi θ -open in X . There exists $U \in ij\text{-SO}(X)$ such that $x \in U \subset ij\text{-scl}(U) \subset f^{-1}(V)$. Therefore, we have $f(ij\text{-scl}(U)) \subset V$.

Theorem 3.3. *If $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is ij -strongly irresolute, then f is ij - θ -sg-continuous.*

Proof. Let V be ji -semi-closed set of Y . Since f is ji -strongly irresolute, then by Theorem 3.2, $f^{-1}(V)$ is ij -semi θ -closed. By lemma 1.9(i), $f^{-1}(V)$ is ij - θ -sg-closed. Thus f is ij - θ -sg-continuous. \square

The converse of above theorem need not be true the following example show that.

Example 3.4. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $Y = \{x, y, z\}$, $\tau_1 = \{\phi, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$, $\tau_2 = \{\phi, \{c, d\}, X\}$, $\sigma_1 = \{\phi, \{z\}, Y\}$ and $\sigma_2 = \{\phi, \{y, z\}, Y\}$. Define a function $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ by setting $f(a) = f(b) = f(d) = x$ and $f(c) = z$. Then f is 12- θ -sg-continuous, since $A = \{a, b, d\} = f^{-1}(\{x\})$ is 12- θ -sg-closed. But A is not 21-semi θ -closed. Hence f is not 21-strongly irresolute, since $\{x\}$ is 21-semi-closed in Y .

Theorem 3.5. *Let $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ and $g: (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2) \rightarrow (Z, \nu_1, \nu_2)$ are two functions, then:*

- (i) If f is ij -strongly irresolute and g is ij -irresolute, then $g \circ f: X \rightarrow Z$ is ij -strongly irresolute.
- (ii) If f is ij -quasi-irresolute and g is ij -strongly irresolute, then $g \circ f$ is ij -strongly irresolute.

Proof

- (i) Let $V \in ij\text{-SO}(Z)$. Then $g^{-1}(V) \in ij\text{-SO}(Y)$, since g is ij -irresolute. By Theorem 3.2, $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V)) = (g \circ f)^{-1}(V)$ is ij -semi θ -open in X . Thus $g \circ f$ is ij -strongly irresolute.
- (ii) This follows immediately from Theorem 2.5 and 3.2. \square

Theorem 3.6. *An ij -irresolute function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is ij -strongly irresolute if and only if X is ij -semi-regular.*

Proof. Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be the identity function. Then f is ij -irresolute and ij -strongly irresolute by hypothesis. For any $x \in X$ and any $F \in ij\text{-SC}(X)$ not containing x , $f(x) = x \in X \setminus F \in ij\text{-SO}(X)$ and there exists $U \in ij\text{-SO}(X)$ such that $f(ij\text{-scl}(U)) \subset X \setminus F$. Therefore, we obtain $x \in U \in ij\text{-SO}(X)$, $F \subset X \setminus ij\text{-scl}(U) \in ji\text{-SO}(X)$ and $U \cap (X \setminus ij\text{-scl}(U)) = \phi$. This obvious that X is ij -semi-regular. \square

Conversely, suppose that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is ij -irresolute and X is ij -semi-regular. For any $x \in X$ and any $V \in ij\text{-SO}(f(x))$, $f^{-1}(V) \in ij\text{-SO}(X)$ and there exists $U \in ij\text{-SO}(X)$ such that $x \in U \in ij\text{-scl}(U) \subset f^{-1}(V)$, by Lemma 1.7. Therefore, we have $f(ij\text{-scl}(U)) \subset V$. This shows that f is ij -strongly irresolute.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function and $g: X \rightarrow X \times Y$ the graph function of f . If g is ij -strongly irresolute, then f is ij -strongly irresolute and X is ij -semi-regular.*

Proof. First, we show that f is ij -strongly irresolute. Let $x \in X$ and $V \in ij\text{-SO}(f(x))$. Then $X \times V$ is an ij -semi-open set of $X \times Y$ containing $g(x)$. Since g is ij -strongly irresolute, there exists $U \in ij\text{-SO}(X)$ such that $g(ij\text{-scl}(U)) \subset X \times V$. Therefore, we

obtain $f(ij\text{-scl}(U)) \subset V$. Next, let $x \in X$ and $U \in ij\text{-SO}(x)$. Since $g(x) \in U \times X \in ij\text{-SO}(X \times Y)$, there exists $U_0 \in ij\text{-SO}(X)$ such that $g(ij\text{-scl}(U_0)) \subset U \times Y$. Therefore, we obtain $x \in U_0 \subset ij\text{-scl}(U_0) \subset U$ and hence X is ij -semi-regular. \square

Remark 3.8. The converse to Theorem 3.7, is not true because in Example 2.10, f is 12-strongly irresolute and X is 12-semi-regular but g is not 12-strongly irresolute.

Theorem 3.9. *If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a P -strongly irresolute injection and Y is P -semi T_0 , then X is P -semi T_2 .*

Proof. Let x and y be any pair of distinct points of X . Since f is injective it follows that $f(x) \neq f(y)$. Since Y is P -semi T_0 , there exists $V \in ij\text{-SO}(f(x))$ not containing $f(y)$ or $W \in ji\text{-SO}(f(y))$ not containing $f(x)$. If it holds that $f(y) \notin V \in ij\text{-SO}(f(x))$ and since f is P -strongly irresolute then there exists $U \in ij\text{-SO}(X)$ such that $f(ij\text{-scl}(U)) \subset V$. Therefore, we obtain $f(y) \notin f(ij\text{-scl}(U))$ and hence $y \in X \setminus ij\text{-scl}(U) \in ji\text{-SO}(X)$. If the other case holds, then we obtain the similar result. Therefore, X is P -semi T_2 . \square

4. ij -Semi-compact and ij -s-closed spaces.

Definition 4.1. Let A be a subset of a space X , then:

- (i) A subset A is said to be ij -semi-compact relative to X (resp. ij -s-closed relative to X [7]) if for every cover $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$ of A by ij -semi-open sets of X , there exists a finite subset ∇_0 of ∇ such $A \subset \cup\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$ (resp. $A \subset \cup\{ij\text{-scl}(V_\alpha) : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$).
- (ii) A space X is said to be ij -semi-compact [5] (resp. ij -s-closed [7]) if X is ij -semi-compact relative to X (resp. ij -s-closed relative to X).
- (iii) A subset A is called ij -semi-compact if the subspace A is ij -semi-compact.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an ij -strongly irresolute function. If A is ij -s-closed relative to X , then $f(A)$ is ij -semi-compact.*

Proof. Let A be ij -s-closed relative to X and $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$ any cover of $f(A)$ by ij -semi-open sets of Y . For each $x \in A$, there exists $\alpha(x) \in \nabla$ such that $f(x) \in V_{\alpha(x)}$. Since f is ij -strongly irresolute, there exists $U_x \in ij\text{-SO}(X)$ such that $f(ij\text{-scl}(U_x)) \subset V_{\alpha(x)}$. The family $\{U_x : x \in A\}$ form an ij -semi-open cover of A and there exists a finite number of points x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n in A such that $A \subset \cup\{ij\text{-scl}(U_{x_i}) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Therefore, we obtain $f(A) \subset \cup\{V_{\alpha(x_i)} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Thus $f(A)$ is ij -semi-compact relative to Y . \square

Corollary 4.3. If X is ij -s-closed and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is an ij -quasi-irresolute (resp. ij -strongly irresolute) surjection, then Y is ij -s-closed (resp. ij -semi-compact).

Proof. The second case follows from Theorem 4.2. We shall show the first. Let $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$ be an ij -semi-open cover of Y . By Lemma 1.4, the family $\{ij\text{-scl}(V_\alpha) : \alpha \in \nabla\}$ is a cover of Y by ij -semi-regular sets of Y . It follows from Theorem 2.4,

that the family $\{f^{-1}(ij - scl(V_\alpha)) : \alpha \in \nabla\}$ is a cover of X by ij -semi-regular sets of X . Since X is ij -s-closed, then there exists a finite subset ∇_0 of ∇ such that $X = \bigcup \{f^{-1}(ij - scl(V_\alpha)) : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$ by Lemma 1.8. Since f is surjective, we have $Y = \bigcup \{ij - scl(V_\alpha) : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$. This shows that Y is ij -s-closed. \square

A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be ij -pre-semi-closed [8] if $f(F) \in ij\text{-SC}(Y)$ for every $F \in ij\text{-SC}(X)$.

Lemma 4.4. *A surjection $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is ij -pre-semi-closed if and only if for each point $y \in Y$ and each $U \in ij\text{-SO}(X)$ containing $f^{-1}(y)$, there exists $V \in ij\text{-SO}(Y)$ such that $f^{-1}(V) \subset U$.*

Proof. The first side follows from Lemma 1.6. On the other hand, let A be an ij -semi-open set of X . Suppose that $y \in Y \setminus f(A)$ where $X \setminus A$ is ij -semi-closed set of X . By hypothesis, there exists an ij -semi-open set $V \subset Y$ such that $f^{-1}(V) \subset X \setminus A$. Thus $A \subset f^{-1}(Y \setminus V)$, this implies $f(A) \subset Y \setminus V$. Hence $y \in V \subset Y \setminus f(A)$ and $Y \setminus f(A)$ is ij -semi-open set of Y . It follows that $f(A)$ is ij -semi-closed set in Y and hence f is an ij -pre-semi-closed. \square

Theorem 4.5. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an ij -pre-semi-closed surjection and $f^{-1}(y)$ be ij -s-closed relative to Y (resp. ij -semi-compact relative to Y) for each $y \in Y$. If K is ij -semi-compact relative to Y , then $f^{-1}(K)$ is ij -s-closed relative to X (resp. ij -semi-compact relative to Y).*

Proof. Suppose that for each $y \in Y$, $f^{-1}(y)$ is ij -s-closed relative to Y and K is ij -semi-compact relative to Y . Let $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$ be a cover of $f^{-1}(K)$ by ij -semi-open sets of X . For each $y \in K$, there exists a finite subset $\nabla(y)$ of ∇ such that $f^{-1}(y) \subset \bigcup \{ij - scl(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \nabla(y)\}$. By Lemma 1.4, $ij - scl(U_\alpha) \in ij\text{-SO}(X)$ for each $\alpha \in \nabla$ and hence $\bigcup \{ij - scl(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \nabla(y)\} \in ij\text{-SO}(X)$. By Lemma 4.4, there exists $V_y \in ij\text{-SO}(Y)$ such that $f^{-1}(V_y) \subset \bigcup \{ij - scl(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \nabla(y)\}$. Since $\{V_y : y \in K\}$ is an ij -semi-open cover of K , for a finite number of points y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n in K , we have $K \subset \bigcup \{V_{y_i} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and hence $f^{-1}(K) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(V_{y_i}) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla(y_i)} (ij - scl(U_\alpha))$. Therefore, $f^{-1}(K)$ is ij -s-closed relative to X . The proof of the case ij -semi-compact relative to Y is similar. \square

Corollary 4.6. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an ij -pre-semi-closed surjection and $f^{-1}(y)$ be ij -s-closed relative to Y (resp. ij -semi-compact relative to Y) for each $y \in Y$. If Y is ij -semi-compact, then X is ij -s-closed (resp. ij -semi-compact).*

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 4.5. \square

5. Comparisons.

Definition 5.1. A function $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be ij -semi-weakly continuous if for each $x \in X$ and each σ_i -open neighborhood V of $f(x)$, there exists $U \in ij\text{-SO}(X)$ such that $f(U) \subset j\text{-cl}(V)$.

Remark 5.2. ij -strongly irresolute implies ij -irresolute and ij -irresolute implies ij -quasi-irresolute. However, ij -strongly irresolute and i -continuous are independent of each other as the following two examples show.

Example 5.3. Let $X = Y = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$, $\tau_2 = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$, $\sigma_1 = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, Y\}$ and $\sigma_2 = \{\phi, \{b\}, \{b, c\}, Y\}$. Then the identity function $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is 12-strongly irresolute but not 1-continuous.

Example 5.4. Let $X = Y = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{\phi, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$, $\tau_2 = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{a, c\}, X\}$, $\sigma_1 = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, Y\}$ and $\sigma_2 = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, Y\}$. Then the function $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ defined by $f(a) = f(b) = b$ and $f(c) = c$. It is evident that f is 1-continuous. Since $b \in f(U)$ and $12\text{-SO}(a) = \{\{a, b\}, X\}$, then for each $U \in 12\text{-SO}(a)$, we have $f(U)a = 21\text{-scl}(a)$ for every $U \in 12\text{-SO}(a)$. This shows that f is not 12-quasi-irresolute.

Theorem 5.5. *An ij -irresoluteness implies both ij -quasi-irresolute and ij -semi-continuous.*

Proof. Straightforward from the fact that every i -open set is ij -semi-open and [[6], Remark 5.1]. \square

The converse of Theorem 5.5 is not true as Example 5.4 and the following example show.

Example 5.6. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{\phi, \{a, b\}, X\}$, $\tau_2 = \{\phi, \{a, c\}, X\}$, $\sigma_1 = \{\phi, \{b, c\}, X\}$ and $\sigma_2 = \{\phi, X\}$. Then the identity function $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (X, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is 12-quasi-irresolute. However, f is not 12-semi-continuous and hence not 12-irresolute.

Theorem 5.7. *An ij -quasi-irresolute implies ij -semi-weak continuity.*

Proof. It follows from definition. \square

The converse of the above theorem is not true, since in Example 5.4, f is 12-semi-weakly continuous but not 12-quasi-irresolute.


Remark 5.8. Every ij -semi-continuous function is ij -semi-weakly continuous but the converse is not true, the following example shows that.

Example 5.9. Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $\tau_1 = \{\phi, \{a\}, X\}$, $\tau_2 = \{\phi, X\}$, $\sigma_1 = \{\phi, \{b\}, X\}$ and $\sigma_2 = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, X\}$. Then the identity function $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (X, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is 12-semi-weakly continuous but not 12-semi-continuous.

Remark 5.10. Every ij -strongly irresolute function is ij -irresolute. The converse need not be true, the following example shows that.

Example 5.11. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{\phi, \{a, b\}, X\}$, $\tau_2 = \{\phi, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$, $\sigma_1 = \{\phi, \{b, c\}, X\}$ and $\sigma_2 = \{\phi, X\}$. Then the function $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (X, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ defined by $f(a) = c$, $f(b) = b$ and $f(c) = a$. Then f is 12-irresolute but f is not 12-strongly irresolute, since $\{b, c\} \in 12\text{-SO}(X)$ and $12\text{-SO}(X) = \{\phi, \{a, b\}, X\}$ such that $21\text{-scl}(\{a, b\}) = X$. Thus $f(X)\{b, c\}$ and hence f is not 12-strongly irresolute.

By [[6], Remark 5.1] and for remarks in this section, we obtain the following diagram, where none of the implication is reversible.



References

- [1] S. Bose, Semi open sets, semi continuity and semi open mappings in bitopological spaces, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.* 73 (1981) 237–246.
- [2] S. Bose, D. Sinha, Pairwise almost continuous map and weakly continuous map in bitopological spaces, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.* 74 (1982) 195–206.
- [3] J.C. Kelly, Bitopological spaces, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 3 (13) (1963) 71–89.
- [4] F.H. Khedr, θ -Irresolute mappings in bitopological spaces, *Arabian J. Sci. Eng.* 16 (3) (1991) 423–426.
- [5] F.H. Khedr, A.M. Al-shibani, Weakly and strongly θ -irresolute functions in bitopological spaces, *Bull. Fac. Sci. Assiut Univ.* 23 (1-c) (1994) 69–79.
- [6] F.H. Khedr, T. Noiri, Pairwise almost s-continuous functions in bitopological spaces, *J. Egyptian Math. Soc.* 15 (1) (2007) 89–100.
- [7] F.H. Khedr, T. Noiri, s-closedness in bitopological spaces, *J. Egyptian Math. Soc.* 15 (1) (2007) 79–87.
- [8] F.H. Khedr, H.S. Al-Saadi, On semi-generalized closed sets in bitopological spaces, *J. King Abdul Aziz Univ. Sci.* 20(4) (2008).
- [9] F.H. Khedr, H.S. Al-Saadi, On pairwise θ -semigeneralized closed sets, *Far East J. Mathematical Sciences (FJMS)* 28 (2) (2008) 381–394, February.
- [10] M.N. Mukherjee, Pairwise semi connectedness in bitopological spaces, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 14 (9) (1983) 1166–1173.
- [11] M.N. Mukherjee, On pairwise S-closed bitopological spaces, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 8 (4) (1985) 729–745.